NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT PEGALIFORNIA Case 5:09-cr-00468-JW Document 4 Filed DISTRICT PEGALIFORNIA

Ť.	Odde 5.00 of CO400 CVV Boodiner	111 4 1 1100 04/00/00 1 000 4 0 P 22 121
•	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number (1 D 40 Am
•	~ v. /: O. /	Case Number <u>CR-09-7035</u> 7RS
•	Verfirio Salas - Spytan, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
٠.	in accordance with the Bail Reform Act 19 TI C.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 4/36, 2009
		The Heist 18:
	Assistant U.S. Attorney L. Durista	The United States was represented by
	PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	/ / The defendant is charged with an offence dear	Lati torra a a sa
	Convicted of a prior offense described in 1911 G. C. 224 GC	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
:	convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elem-	(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	imprisonment, whichever is later.	(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local sed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
:		
•	safety of any other manner and the	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
•		
	Inere is probable cause based upon (the indicting	ent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
• •		
• '	A for which a maximum term of imprisons	nent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
	seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	2 0.5.C. 9 001 et
٠.	B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firear	n during the commission of a felony.
•.	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no cond	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	The state of the s	ommunity.
	777 No presumption applies.	APR 302009
• • •	PART II. REBUITAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	~" \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
• •	/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evid	ence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
•	/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to 1	rebut the applicable programmer - F-74
<i>:</i> ·	Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United State	25
•	PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REPUTED OF INAP	The track the sel
•	The United States has proved to a prepondemnon of	Pathan and damage at the control of
: . ·	/ / Inc United States has proved by clear and convincing	nor exidence that we are 122
•		
	PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF RE	Hanney.
••	Inc Court has taken into account the factors set out:	in fortiging a stack and a
	at hearing and finds as follows: 14 o de least do N	11 16 U.S.C. 9 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
•	at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is letter the home as	uncle with a violation of 8 45/2/32
	a distance of the a	watance alread and land soli la and
	The standard of the standard o	and felony Rossessin Med Under
	Olhan Solony and Later 2005,	Then in 2006 he has two
	- proving suggestions for Dall	and possession for sall,
· : -		
	// Defendant his attorner and distance	
10	// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings.
: *	PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
:	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a
-		
44 -	The account areas of all of the second in the control of the second in the control of the second in	rrinto o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o
mė.q	lesendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appear	rance in connection with a court proceeding
		- PAVVVVVIII

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge